

# Diagnosis and Treatment of Patients with early and advanced Breast Cancer



© AGO e. V.  
in der DGGG e.V.  
sowie  
in der DKG e.V.

Guidelines Breast  
Version 2021.1E

## CNS Metastases in Breast Cancer

[www.ago-online.de](http://www.ago-online.de)

FORSCHEN  
LEHREN  
HEILEN

# CNS Metastases in Breast Cancer

© AGO e. V.  
in der DGGG e.V.  
sowie  
in der DKG e.V.

Guidelines Breast  
Version 2021.1E

- **Versions 2003–2020:**  
**Bauerfeind / Bischoff / Diel / Ditsch / Fehm / Friedrich / Gerber / Huober /  
Loibl / Lück / Maass / Müller / Nitz / Jackisch / Jonat / Junkermann /  
Rody / Schütz / Solbach / Stickeler / Witzel**
- **Version 2021:**  
**Rody / Huober**

# CNS Metastases in Breast Cancer

- **Breast cancer is the 2<sup>nd</sup> most common cause of CNS metastases**
- **At autopsy:**
  - **Parenchymal CNS metastases: ~ 30–40%**
  - **Leptomeningeal CNS metastases: ~ 5–16%**
- **Increasing incidence (10 % ⇨ 40 % )**
- **Increasing incidence due to**
  - **More effective treatment of extra-cerebral sites with improved prognosis**
  - **Increasing use of MRI for diagnostic evaluation**
- **Lack of specific knowledge about treatment of brain metastases in breast cancer since most studies are not breast cancer specific. Therefore, participation in the German registry study is recommended (www.gbg.de)**

# CNS Metastases in Breast Cancer

## Tumour biology

- **Primary Tumor:**
  - Negative hormone receptor status (basal-like cell type / triple-negative)
  - High grade, high Ki-67 index
  - HER2 and/or EGFR (HER1) overexpression
  - Molecular subtype (Luminal B, HER2 positive, triple-negative)
- **Brain metastases are more likely estrogen receptor negative and overexpress HER2 and/or EGFR**
- **Discordance of molecular subtype between primary tumor and brain metastases: for ER= 16.7%, for PR = 25.2% and Her2 neu = 10.4%**
- **There is no evidence for BM-screening in asymptomatic BC-patients**

© AGO e. V.  
in der DGGG e.V.  
sowie  
in der DKG e.V.

Guidelines Breast  
Version 2021.1E



# Diagnosis-specific Graded Prognostic Assessment (DS-GPA) Worksheet to Estimate Survival from Brain Metastases (BM) by Diagnosis

	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	Score
<b>Prognostic Factor</b>						
KPS	≤ 50	60	70-80	90-100	n/a	_____
Subtype	Basal	n/a	LumA	HER2	LumB	_____
Age, years	> 60	< 60	n/a	n/a	n/a	_____
Sum total						_____

### Median survival by GPA:

**DS-GPA 0-1.0 = 3.4 months**

**DS-GPA 1.5-2.0 = 7.7 months**

**DS-GPA 2.5-3.0 = 15.1 months**

**DS-GPA 3.5-4.0 = 25.3 months;**

### DS-GPA confirmed as prognostic factor

Subtype: Basal: triple negative; LumA: ER/PR positive, HER2 negative; LumB: triple positive; HER2: ER/PR negative, HER2 positive

**Sperduto PW et al, JCO 2012; Nagtegaal SHJ et al, Radiother Oncol 2019**

© AGO e. V.  
in der DGGG e.V.  
sowie  
in der DKG e.V.

Guidelines Breast  
Version 2021.1E

www.ago-online.de

**FORSCHEN  
LEHREN  
HEILEN**

# WBRT-30-BC – zur Abschätzung des Risikos von Hirnmetastasen

© AGO e. V.  
in der DGGG e.V.  
sowie  
in der DKG e.V.  
  
Guidelines Breast  
Version 2021.1E

Characteristic	6-month OS rate (%)	Scoring points
<b>Karnofsky performance score</b>		
<70%	8	1
70%	32	3
>70%	72	7
<b>Time between 1.diagnosis of breast cancer and WBRT</b>		
≤33 months	29	3
≥34 months	38	4
<b>Extra-cerebral metastatic disease</b>		
No	53	5
Yes	28	3

- Based on 170 patients
- WBRT: whole brain radiotherapy alone
- (30 Gy in 30 sessions)

Prognostic group	OS at 6 months (%)
6-9 points	8
10-12 points	41
13-15 points	68
16 points	100

Regarding the PPV to identify patients who will live 6 months or longer after WBRT, the WBRT-30-BC (100%) was superior to both DS-GPA (74%) and Rades-Score (68%).

Janssen S et al, Radiol Oncol, 2019

# Single / Solitary Brain Metastasis

## Oxford

**Local therapy alone: SRS ( $\leq 4$  cm) o. FSRT or resection**

LoE	GR	AGO
2b	B	++
1b	B	++
2a	B	+
2b	B	+
2b	B	+/-

**Resection + irradiation of the tumor bed (without WBRT)**

**WBRT + Boost (SRS, FSRT) or resection + WBRT**

**WBRT alone**

**Patients with reduced general condition and limited life expectancy**

**Hippocampal-sparing**

- **WBRT in addition to SRS/FSRT or tumor resection improves local control and symptoms but has no survival benefit. WBRT impairs neurocognitive function.**

**SRS = stereotactic radiosurgery (single session), FSRT = fractionated stereotactic RT; WBRT = whole brain radiotherapy,**

# Oligo-Brain Metastases

## Oxford

LoE	GR	AGO
-----	----	-----

**Local therapy alone: SRS ( $\leq 4$  cm) or FSRT**

2b	B	++
----	---	----

**WBRT + Boost (SRS, FSRT)**

2a	B	++
----	---	----

**WBRT alone**

2b	B	+
----	---	---

**Patients with reduced general condition and limited life expectancy**

**Hippocampal-sparing**

2b	C	+/-
----	---	-----

- Maximal number of metastases treated by SRS depends on localization, size, and additional, factors e.g. number of metastases, pre-treatment, Karnofsky.Index
- WBRT in addition to SRS/FSRT improves local control and symptoms, but has no survival benefit. Additional WBRT seems to impair neurocognitive function
- In case of limited number of brain metastases, SRS/FSRT are preferred

**SRS = stereotactic radiosurgery (single session), FSRT = fractionated stereotactic RT; WBRT = whole brain radiotherapy,**

# NCCTG N0574 (Alliance): A Phase III Randomized Trial of Whole Brain Radiation Therapy (WBRT) in Addition to Radiosurgery (SRS) in Patients with 1 to 3 Brain Metastases



© AGO e. V.  
in der DGGG e.V.  
sowie  
in der DKG e.V.

Guidelines Breast  
Version 2021.1E

www.ago-online.de

FORSCHEN  
LEHREN  
HEILEN

## Study design:

Patients with 1-3 brain metastases, each < 3 cm by contrast MRI, were randomized to SRS alone or SRS + WBRT and underwent cognitive testing before and after treatment. The primary endpoint was cognitive progression (CP) defined as decline > 1 SD from baseline in any of the 6 cognitive tests at 3 months. Time to CP was estimated using cumulative incidence adjusting for survival as a competing risk.\*

## Conclusion:

Decline in cognitive function, specifically immediate recall, memory and verbal fluency, was more frequent with the addition of WBRT to SRS. Adjuvant WBRT did not improve OS despite better brain control. Initial treatment with SRS and close monitoring is recommended to better preserve cognitive function in patients with newly diagnosed brain metastases that are amenable to SRS.

\* Remark: No hippocampus-sparing was applied

Brown A, Asher AL, Ballman K, Farace E, Cerhan J, Anderson K, et al. JAMA. 2016 Jul 26;316(4):401-9. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.9839

# Adjuvant Whole-brain Radiotherapy Versus Observation After Radiosurgery or Surgical Resection of One to Three Cerebral Metastases: Results of the EORTC 22952- 26001 Study



© AGO e. V.  
 in der DGGG e.V.  
 sowie  
 in der DKG e.V.  
  
 Guidelines Breast  
 Version 2021.1E

2-year relapse rate after whole-brain radiotherapy (WBRT) versus observation after surgical resection or radiosurgery				
	after surgical resection (n=160)		after radiosurgery (n=199)	
	WBRT	observation	WBRT	observation
Local recurrence	27%	59% (p<0.001)	19%	31% (p=0.040)
New lesions	23%	42% (p=0.008)	33%	48% (p=0.023)

- Only 12% of the patients had brain metastases from breast cancer.
- Overall survival was similar in the WBRT and observation arms (median, 10.9 vs. 10.7 months, respectively; P = .89).
- Intracranial progression caused death in 44% patients in the OBS arm and in 28% patients in the WBRT arm.

Kocher M. J Clin Oncol 2011, 29:134-141

# Possible Factors for Decision Making Neurosurgery versus Stereotactic Radiosurgery

© AGO e. V.  
in der DGGG e.V.  
sowie  
in der DKG e.V.

Guidelines Breast  
Version 2021.1E

## Factors in favor of neurosurgery:

- **Histological verification e.g. after a long recurrence-free interval**
- **Need for immediate decompression, life-threatening symptoms**
- **Tumor size not allowing stereotactic radiotherapy**

## Factors in favor of primary radiotherapy\*:

- **Tumor location poorly amenable to surgery**
- **More than four lesions**

**\* stereotactic radiotherapy should be preferred if possible**

# Multiple Brain Metastases

## if Stereotactic Radiotherapy is not indicated

© AGO e. V.  
in der DGGG e.V.  
sowie  
in der DKG e.V.

Guidelines Breast  
Version 2021.1E

- **WBRT (supportive steroids\*)**
- **Hippocampal-sparing radiotherapy**
- **Corticosteroids alone\***
- **Chemotherapy alone**
- **Radiochemotherapy for intracerebral control**
- **WBRT in case of recurrence\*\***

Oxford		
LoE	GR	AGO
1a	A	++
2b	C	+/-
3a	B	+/-
3a	D	+/-
3b	C	-
4	C	+/-

\* adapted to symptoms

\*\* can be discussed depending on time-interval from first radiation, prior dose, and localization if local therapy (surgery, SRS, FSRT) is not indicated and / or possible

SRS = stereotactic radiosurgery

FSRT = fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy

WBRT = whole brain radiotherapy

# Symptomatic Therapy of Brain Metastases

© AGO e. V.  
in der DGGG e.V.  
sowie  
in der DKG e.V.

Guidelines Breast  
Version 2021.1E

- **Anticonvulsants only if symptoms of seizures**
- **Glucocorticoids only if symptoms and /  
or mass effect (Dexamethasone with best evidence)**
- **For patients with bad prognosis and reduced physical common  
conditions best supportive care is an option**

Oxford		
LoE	GR	AGO
3a	C	+
3a	C	++
5	D	+

# Systemic Therapy of Brain Metastases

© AGO e. V.  
in der DGGG e.V.  
sowie  
in der DKG e.V.

Guidelines Breast  
Version 2021.1E

	Oxford		
	LoE	GR	AGO
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Chemotherapy alone as primary treatment</li> </ul>	3a	D	-
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ HER2 pos.               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Tucatinib + Trastuzumab + Capecitabine (after <math>\geq 2</math> anti-HER2-therapies)</li> <li>■ T-DM1</li> <li>■ Lapatinib + Capecitabine</li> <li>■ Neratinib + Capecitabine</li> <li>■ Neratinib + Paclitaxel</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2b	B	+
	2b	B	+/-
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Continuation of the current systemic therapy if first diagnosis of brain metastasis and stable extracranial disease</li> </ul>	2c	C	+

# CNS-efficacy of systemic anti-HER2 therapy



Study		Study type	Therapy	Efficacy endpoint
HER2Climb <sup>1,2</sup> Lin <sup>1</sup> et al. Murthy <sup>2</sup> et al	N=612 With brain met n=291	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prospective, randomized (2:1)</li> <li>Baseline brain MRI</li> <li>BM (n=291) classified as active or stable</li> </ul>	<b>Tuca + T + Cap</b> (n=198) vs. <b>Plac + T + Cap</b> (n=93) <b>Inclusion:</b> prior therapy with T-DM1, Per, T	<b>Tucatinib vs Placeco:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Median CNS-PFS: 9.9 vs 4.2 mo (HR=0.32, 95% CI 0.22-0.48, p&lt;0.001)</li> <li>Median OS: 18.1 vs 12.0 mo (HR=0.58, 95% CI 0.40-0.85, p=0.005)</li> <li>ORR-IC: 47.3 % (95% CI 33.7-61.2%) vs 20.0 % (95% CI 5.7-43.7%), p=0.03</li> </ul>
EMILIA <sup>3</sup> Krop et al	N=991 with brain met n=95	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Retrospective, exploratory, not prespecified</li> <li>Pre study screening (MRI, CT)</li> <li>study enrollment possible if CNS-mets were asymptomatic</li> </ul>	<b>T-DM1 versus L + Cap</b> <b>Inclusion:</b> PD after T, Pac No prior T-DM1, L, Cap	<b>T-DM1 vs L + Cap:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Median PFS: 5.9 vs. 5.7 mo (HR=1.00; 95% CI 0.54-1.84, p=1.000)</li> <li>Median time-to symptom-progression: 7.2 vs. 5.5 mo (HR=0.70, 95% CI 0.33-1.48, p=0.338)</li> <li>Median OS: 26.8 vs. 12.9 mo (HR=0.38, 95% CI 0.18-0.80, p=0.008)</li> </ul>
KAMILLA <sup>4</sup> Montemurro et al.	N=2002 N=398 with baseline brain met	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Phase IIIb, single arm</li> <li>Exploratory analysis</li> </ul>	<b>T-DM1</b> <b>Inclusion:</b> Locally advanced/mbc In pts with BM: Prior Anti-HER2-therapy (L, 60% T 99%, Per 6%), and cht 99%; prior RT BM 57%) 1line up to > 5line	<b>T-DM1</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N=126 with measurable BM at baseline</li> <li>CNS-ORR 21.4 % (95% CI 14.6-29.6)</li> <li>CBR 42.9 % (95% CI 34.1-52.0)</li> <li>Median PFS w or w/o BM: 5.5 (95% CI 5.3-5.6) vs. 7.7 mo (95% CI 6.8-8.1)</li> <li>Median OS w or w/o BM: 18.9 (95% CI 17.1-21.3 vs. 30.0 mo (95% CI 27.6-31.2)</li> </ul>
NALA <sup>5</sup> Saura et al	N=621, With brain met N=101	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prospective, randomized (1:1)</li> <li>enrollment if CNS-mets were stable and asymptomatic</li> <li>Sec. Endpoint: incidence of CNS intervention</li> </ul>	<b>N+Cap vs L+Cap</b> <b>Inclusion:</b> ≥ 2 anti-HER2 therapies, 33% had prior Tra, Per, T-DM1	<b>N+Cap vs L+Cap:</b> Cumulative incidence of CNS intervention : 22.8 % (95% CI 15.5-30.9 %). 29.2 % (95% CI 22.5+36.1 %), p=0.043
NEFERT-T <sup>6</sup> Awada et al.	N= 479	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Exploratory not preplanned subgroup analysis of randomized controlled trial</li> </ul>	<b>N+Pac (n=242) vs T + Pac (n=237)</b> <b>Inclusion:</b> untreated metastatic or recurrent HER2+ BC, L/T as adjuvant/neoadjuvant therapy allowed	<b>N+Pac vs T + Pac</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Incidence of CNS recurrences: RR 0.48, 95% CI 0.29-0.79, p=0.002</li> <li>Time to CNS metastases: HR 0.45, 95% CI 0.26-0.78, p=0.004</li> <li>2 years cumulative CNS incidence: N-Pac 10.1%; T-Pac 20.2%</li> </ul>
DESTINY-Breast01 <sup>7</sup> Modi et al.	N= 184 With brain met n=24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prospective, single arm, open label</li> <li>study enrollment possible if CNS-mets were stable and asymptomatic (n=24)</li> </ul>	<b>Trastuzumab-Deruxtecan</b> <b>Inclusion:</b> prior therapy with T-DM1, 66% had Per, 100% Tra, 54% other anti-HER2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Trastuzumab-Deruxtecan:</b></li> <li>Median CNS-PFS: 18.1 mo (95% CI 6.7-18.1) (all patients 16.4 mo, 95% CI 12.7-n.r.)</li> </ul>
Landscape <sup>8</sup> Bachelot et al.	N=45 Untreated brain metastases	Single-arm, phase II	<b>L + Cap</b>	<b>L+Cap</b> Objective CNS response: 65.9 % (95% CI 50.1-79.5)

(T=Trastuzumab, Tuca=Tucatinib, Plac = Placebo, Cap = Capecitabine, L= Lapatinib, N=Neratinib, Pac =Paclitaxel, Per =Pertuzumab, BM =brain metastases, cht =chemotherapy)

# Leptomeningeal Carcinomatosis: Local Therapy

Oxford

LoE GR AGO

## Intrathecal or ventricular therapy

▪ MTX 10–15 mg 2–3x/ week (+/- folinic acid rescue)	2b	B	+
▪ Liposomal cytarabine 50 mg, q 2w*	3b	C	+
▪ Thiothepa	3b	C	+/-
▪ Steroids	4	D	+/-
▪ Trastuzumab (HER2 pos. disease)	4	C	+/-

## Systemic therapy

3b B +

## Radiotherapy

▪ Focal (bulky disease)	4	D	+
▪ WBRT	4	D	+
▪ Neuroaxis (disseminated spinal lesions)	4	D	+/-

Due to poor prognosis, consider best supportive care, especially in patients with poor performance status

\* Currently not available